



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY  
Gautam Kumar,  
San Jose State University, United States

REVIEWED BY  
Hisayoshi Murai,  
Kanazawa University, Japan  
Farak Dişli,  
Bingöl University, Türkiye

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Gregoire P. Millet,  
✉ [gregoire.millet@unil.ch](mailto:gregoire.millet@unil.ch)

RECEIVED 22 January 2026  
REVISED 25 February 2026  
ACCEPTED 27 February 2026  
PUBLISHED 07 April 2026

CITATION  
Schmitt L, Munz A, Schmitt C, Laurent R  
and Millet GP (2026) Acute effects of  
“Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> fascial stretching on  
autonomic nervous responses assessed  
by heart rate variability.  
*Front. Physiol.* 17:1793941.  
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2026.1793941

COPYRIGHT  
© 2026 Schmitt, Munz, Schmitt, Laurent  
and Millet. This is an open-access article  
distributed under the terms of the  
[Creative Commons Attribution License  
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is  
permitted, provided the original  
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are  
credited and that the original  
publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic  
practice. No use, distribution or  
reproduction is permitted which does  
not comply with these terms.

# Acute effects of “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> fascial stretching on autonomic nervous responses assessed by heart rate variability

Laurent Schmitt<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Munz<sup>2</sup>, Catherine Schmitt<sup>3</sup>,  
Renaud Laurent<sup>4</sup> and Gregoire P. Millet<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ISSUL, Institute of Sport Sciences, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, <sup>2</sup>Independent Researcher, Marseille, France, <sup>3</sup>Independent Researcher, Briançon, France, <sup>4</sup>CREPS de Vichy, Bellerive-sur-Allier, France

**Purpose:** To analyze if the “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> fascial stretching method significantly modifies the autonomic nervous responses at rest. We tested the hypothesis of a positive influence on the parasympathetic activity.

**Methods:** Heart rate variability (HRV) was measured in thirty three (including nine females) healthy participants during a tilt test (i.e., 5-min supine followed by 5-min standing) before (pre-) and immediately after (post-) 60 min in either a control condition and 3 days later a “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> session. Time-domain (heart rate, HR); root mean square of the successive differences between RR intervals, (RMSSD), non-linear (standard deviations, SD1, SD2), and frequency-domain (spectral frequencies in very low, VLF; low, LF and high bands) parameters as well as the detrended fluctuation analysis (DFAa1) were measured.

**Results:** In supine position (SU), HR<sub>SU</sub> decreased to a larger extent (−12.4% ± 7.6% vs. −3.8% ± 4.0%,  $p < 0.001$ ) in the “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> group (62.3 ± 8.7 vs. 54.4 ± 7.3 bpm,  $p < 0.001$ , Effect Size (ES) = 0.83) than in the control group (60.8 ± 8.7 vs. 58.4 ± 8.3 bpm,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.27). Significant increases in RMSSD<sub>SU</sub> (50.1 ± 30.1 vs. 73.3 ± 48.0 m,  $p < 0.001$ , ES = 0.59), HF<sub>SU</sub> (1,212 ± 1,078 vs. 2,672 ± 2,388 m<sup>2</sup>,  $p < 0.001$ , ES = 0.72) were reported in the Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> but not in the control group. In the standing position (ST): HR<sub>ST</sub> decreased in both the Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> (76.6 ± 11.0 vs. 68.8 ± 9.6 bpm,  $p < 0.001$ , ES = 0.62) and the control (77.2 ± 12.7 vs. 74.7 ± 11.9 bpm,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.20) but the relative change was larger in the “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> (−9.6% ± 9.4% vs. −2.9% ± 6.4%,  $p < 0.01$ ). Significant increases in RMSSD<sub>ST</sub> (29.5 ± 23.8 vs. 39.9 ± 27.5,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.38) and in (LF + HF)<sub>ST</sub> (2,132 ± 2,464 vs. 3,065 ± 3,382 m<sup>2</sup>,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.31) were observed only in the “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> group.

**Conclusion:** The “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> fascial stretching method was effective for acutely increasing the parasympathetic activity. These results suggest “Munz Floor”<sup>®</sup> fascial stretching as a potential strategy for improving recovery and reducing the impact of stress and fatigue.

KEYWORDS

autonomic nervous system, fascia, fascial stretching, heart rate variability, recovery

# 1 Introduction

Fascia is a connective tissue that forms a “soft skeleton” within the body. It is composed primarily of collagen fibers and plays a crucial role in support, protection, and mobility. Under conditions such as stress, fatigue, aging, poor posture, lack of movement, or injury, fascia may become rigid and adherent, thereby restricting mobility and potentially causing pain. Fascial stretching has been extensively documented in the literature (Warneke et al., 2024; Sugino et al., 2023; Ruiz et al., 2023; Siriphorn and Eksakulkla, 2020; Freitas, 2018; Flanigan et al., 2007). The primary objective is to release adhesions and rehydrate the tissues. Fascial stretching is generally gentle and dynamic; it does not involve forcing a static position, but rather employs fluid, multidirectional movements to stimulate the tissues. These movements aim to elongate long myofascial chains rather than targeting a single muscle. Several techniques may be employed, including practices inspired by yoga (Gracovetsky, 2018) or Pilates (Gouveia et al., 2022; Adiguzel et al., 2023), as well as the use of tools such as massage rollers (foam rolling) (Kerautret et al., 2021; Yoshimura et al., 2024; Konrad et al., 2021) or balls to apply targeted pressure to areas of tension. Deep breathing is often integrated, as it is essential for relaxing the nervous system and releasing deep-seated tension (Aranberri-Ruiz et al., 2022; Telles et al., 2014).

A new method named Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> method is a floor-based exercise technique integrating isotonic, spiral movements performed at very slow velocity. Developed by dancer and choreographer Alexandre Munz, the approach aims to reduce chronic back pain and enhance postural alignment through targeted mobilization of the spinal column. The spiral sequences and alternating contraction–release cycles act as a form of deep self-myofascial release, facilitating muscular relaxation and fascia decompression. A typical session (~60–90 min) comprises preparatory breathing and body-awareness exercises, followed by structured spiral movements emphasizing the deep paraspinal and abdominal musculature.

HRV is represented by fluctuations in the time intervals, measured in milliseconds, between successive heartbeats, also referred to as RR intervals of the electrocardiogram (ECG) complex or inter-beat intervals (IBI). HRV is considered a key indirect indicator of the autonomic nervous system (ANS) regulation, that has important effect on cardiovascular health (Bodapati et al., 2017; Arakaki et al., 2023), stress resilience (Wu et al., 2025; Tomes et al., 2025; Rudics et al., 2025; LeBlanc et al., 2025) as well as physical performance (Tekin et al., 2025; Hsu et al., 2025; Zhao et al., 2024; Freiburger et al., 2024; DeBlauw et al., 2023; Carrasco-Poyatos et al., 2022; Schmitt et al., 2006; Uusitalo et al., 2000; Schmitt et al., 2021; Schmitt et al., 2018) and the body's adaptive capacity to external and internal changes. Its analysis provides insight into underlying physiological mechanisms and allows optimization of biological functioning (Force, 1996; Amekran et al., 2025; Sammito et al., 2024; Gronwald et al., 2024). Through HRV analysis, it is possible to investigate ANS activity and to non-invasively assess its level of activation, including parasympathetic and sympathetic energy states (Force, 1996; Amekran et al., 2025; Sammito et al., 2024; Akselrod et al., 1981; Pomeranz et al., 1985).

To date, only a limited number of studies have investigated the effects of fascial stretching on HRV and, consequently, on ANS activity. Gungör et al. (Gungör et al., 2022) reported changes in RMSSD following dynamic stretching, although these alterations were not significantly different from those observed with other recovery modalities. Beardsley Caš and Škarabot (2015) further indicated that self-myofascial release interventions may improve time-domain HRV parameters, suggesting a positive modulation of ANS activity. However, they emphasized considerable heterogeneity across protocols and outcomes, underscoring the need for methodological standardization. Other investigations have focused on frequency-domain indices of HRV. Borges et al. (2018) reported increased parasympathetic activity (HF) and a decrease in the LF/HF ratio after myofascial techniques, although their study primarily examined spinal manipulation rather than dynamic stretching.

The duration and persistence of dynamic stretching effects on HRV remain poorly documented. Thomas et al. (2021), in a meta-analysis, noted that cardiovascular responses to stretching may differ between acute and longitudinal interventions, though their observations largely concerned general muscular stretching rather than specific fascial techniques. Gordon et al. (2024) highlighted that distinct fascial manual therapies may elicit differential autonomic modulations, with some techniques appearing more effective in stimulating parasympathetic activity, although underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Thomas et al. (2021) also stressed that methodological variability complicates comparisons of cardiovascular effects across intervention types, a limitation particularly relevant to fascial stretching where standardized protocols are often lacking. Taken together, the available evidence indicates that fascial interventions are capable of modulating HRV, with a consistent trend toward enhanced parasympathetic modulation. Comparisons across techniques suggest variable autonomic responses depending on method and application context. Reported limitations include heterogeneity of intervention protocols, absence of controlled study designs, lack of longitudinal follow-up, and the need for methodological standardization.

We hypothesized that the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> fascial stretching method would significantly increase the parasympathetic energy, through enhancement of the total HRV power, HF and RMSSD, reflecting parasympathetic modulation, accompanied by a reduction in HR. These effects are expected to differ significantly from those observed during an equivalent-duration supine rest condition in the same subjects.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Subjects

Thirty three healthy participants were enrolled in the study with nine women (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, height  $163 \pm 4$  cm; weight  $59.1 \pm 6.2$  kg; age  $43.2 \pm 14.7$  years) and 24 men (height  $176.5 \pm 5.8$  cm; weight  $74.1 \pm 6.3$  kg; age  $37.5 \pm 17.6$  years).

All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study. The study was approved by the local ethical committee (CER-VD 2024–00370) and conforms to the Declaration of Helsinki.

## 2.2 Experimental design

Participants performed two separate sessions interspersed by 72 h. First, in late morning, all 33 subjects remained in a supine resting position without movement for 60 min. HRV was recorded immediately before and after the 60-min rest period.

During the second session, 3 days later, at the same time of day and under identical conditions, the same 33 subjects completed a Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> fascial stretching session. This session was conducted by the voice in video-conference, without any physical contact, by the same expert (AM). HRV analysis was performed immediately before and after the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> session.

## 2.3 Heart rate variability

The protocol of HRV tests is presented in detail in previous articles (Schmitt et al., 2013; Schmitt et al., 2015). Briefly, the HRV test consisted of a 10-min RR interval recording at rest with 5 min supine (SU) followed by 5 min standing (ST). HRV analyses were performed on RR intervals between the 1th and 5th min supine, and between the 6th and 10th min standing. Measurement of the interval duration between 2 R waves of the cardiac electrical activity was performed with a HR monitor (Polar H10<sup>®</sup>, Kempele, Finland). Then mathematical analysis was performed with temporal (HR, RMSSD), spectral (VLF, LF, HF frequencies), non-linear (SD1, SD2) and fractal (DFA $\alpha$ 1) analysis. The analysis and spectral power by Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), were performed with the software (Kubios<sup>®</sup>, Kuopio, Finland). The power of spectral density was measured by frequency bands in  $\text{ms}^2 \cdot \text{Hz}^{-1}$  and the spectral power was expressed in  $\text{ms}^2$  (Force, 1996). The HF power band (0.15–0.40 Hz) reflects alteration of the parasympathetic influence on the heart and is related to the respiratory sinus arrhythmia (Pomeranz et al., 1985). While the LF power band (0.04–0.15 Hz) is also driven by parasympathetic tone, and presently considered responsible for carrying vagal resonances to either changes in vasomotor tone (often sympathetic) or in central modulation of sympathetic tone (Reyes del Paso GAL et al., 2013). The spectral power in the LF power band has also been shown to be related to fluctuations of arterial blood pressure (Akselrod et al., 1981; Pomeranz et al., 1985) and to baroreflex activity (Goldstein et al., 2011). Both in supine (SU) and in standing (ST) positions, LF and HF were calculated in absolute spectral power units ( $\text{ms}^2$ ) and in normalized units (nu) with  $\text{LF}(\text{nu}) = \text{LF}/(\text{LF} + \text{HF}) \times 100$  and  $\text{HF}(\text{nu}) = \text{HF}/(\text{LF} + \text{HF}) \times 100$ . The total spectral power (TP) was calculated by adding LF and HF.

## 2.4 Data analysis and statistics

Data are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD). Data were tested for equality of variance (Fisher-Snedecor F-test) and for normality (Shapiro-Wilk test). When both conditions were met, a two-way repeated measures ANOVA [condition (Control, Munz) vs. measurement (pre, post)] were performed with pairwise multiple comparison procedures (*post hoc*, Tukey method). Differences in percentage changes between the conditions were tested with a Wilcoxon signed rank sum test. When either equality of variance or normality were not satisfied, variables were analysed

for each condition using a Friedman test for repeated measures to determine time effects using pairwise multiple comparison procedures (Bonferroni test). In this case, differences between the Control, and Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> condition at baseline (Pre) were tested using a Mann-Whitney rank sum test. The effect size (ES) was also calculated. Null hypotheses were rejected at  $P < 0.05$ . All analyses were completed using SigmaStat 3.5 software (Systat Software, San Jose, CA).

## 3 Results

All the results are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1 and in Table 2 and Figure 2.

In supine position (SU),  $\text{HR}_{\text{SU}}$  decreased to a larger extent ( $-12.4\% \pm 7.6\%$  vs.  $-3.8\% \pm 4.0\%$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) in the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> group ( $62.3 \pm 8.7$  vs.  $54.4 \pm 7.3$  bpm,  $p < 0.001$ , Effect Size (ES) = 0.83) than in the control group ( $60.8 \pm 8.7$  vs.  $58.4 \pm 8.3$  bpm,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.27). Significant increases in  $\text{RMSSD}_{\text{SU}}$  ( $50.1 \pm 30.1$  vs.  $73.3 \pm 48.0$  m,  $p < 0.001$ , ES = 0.59),  $\text{HF}_{\text{SU}}$  ( $1,212 \pm 1,078$  vs.  $2,672 \pm 2,388$   $\text{m}^2$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , ES = 0.72) were reported in the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> but not in the control group.

Similar results were also noted in the standing position (ST):  $\text{HR}_{\text{ST}}$  decreased in both the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> ( $76.6 \pm 11.0$  vs.  $68.8 \pm 9.6$  bpm,  $p < 0.001$ , ES = 0.62) and the control ( $77.2 \pm 12.7$  vs.  $74.7 \pm 11.9$  bpm,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.20) but the relative change was larger in the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> ( $-9.6\% \pm 9.4\%$  vs.  $-2.9\% \pm 6.4\%$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). Significant increases in  $\text{RMSSD}_{\text{ST}}$  ( $29.5 \pm 23.8$  vs.  $39.9 \pm 27.5$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.38) and in  $(\text{LF} + \text{HF})_{\text{ST}}$  ( $2,132 \pm 2,464$  vs.  $3,065 \pm 3,382$   $\text{m}^2$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , ES = 0.31) were observed only in the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> group.

## 4 Discussion

The main results of the present study are:

1. The Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> method induced a large increase in parasympathetic activity in the supine position, characterized by a decreased heart rate (HR), and increases in RMSSD and HF power (Figure 1). These effects were statistically different from those observed during passive supine rest of equal duration (Table 1).
2. A significant effect of the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> method was also observed in the standing position (Figure 2), with a marked decrease in HR and simultaneous increases in RMSSD, LF band power, and total LF + HF power (Table 2). In the present study, LF increased in the standing position but not in the supine position. However, in standing, the LF/HF ratio did not increase, while RMSSD increased and HR decreased. Although these effects are less pronounced than in the supine position, the significant parameters observed in standing still indicate an increase in parasympathetic modulation rather than sympathetic activation.

It is commonly admitted that HR and HRV indices cannot be interpreted as interchangeable markers of parasympathetic activity, and that their concurrent evolution requires clarification.

TABLE 1 In supine position between pre- and post-, in control (CONT) and MUNZ FLOOR<sup>®</sup> groups, heart rate (HR) and heart rate variability parameters with root mean square of the successive differences between RR intervals (RMSSD), non-linear (standard deviations, SD1, SD2), frequency-domain (very low, VLF; low, LF and high HF bands), and detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA $\alpha$ 1).

Supine	CONT			MUNZ FLOOR <sup>®</sup>		
	Pre	Post	$\Delta\%$	Pre	Post	$\Delta\%$
HR <sub>SU</sub> (bpm)	60.8 ± 8.7	58.4 ± 8.3 <sup>**</sup>	-3.8 ± 4.0 <sup>**</sup>	62.3 ± 8.7	54.4 ± 7.3 <sup>***###</sup>	-12.4 ± 7.6 <sup>***###</sup>
RMSSD <sub>SU</sub> (ms)	61.4 ± 40.7	65.0 ± 41.5 <sup>*</sup>	8.0 ± 13.5 <sup>**</sup>	51.0 ± 30.1	73.3 ± 48.0 <sup>***###</sup>	54.9 ± 37.6 <sup>***###</sup>
VLF <sub>SU</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	2,958 ± 3,109	3,587 ± 4,022	44.1 ± 89.9	2,332 ± 2,903	2,478 ± 2,519	50.5 ± 109.0
LF <sub>SU</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	1,436 ± 1,516	1798 ± 1743	58.5 ± 117.2	1,448 ± 1,463	1729 ± 1,665	70.6 ± 158.6
HF <sub>SU</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	1903 ± 1985	2024 ± 2,191	6.5 ± 30.9	1,212 ± 1,078	2,672 ± 2388 <sup>***###</sup>	173 ± 164 <sup>***###</sup>
LF/HF <sub>SU</sub>	1.7 ± 2.4	2.0 ± 3.0	55.8 ± 96.1	2.0 ± 2.3	0.9 ± 0.7 <sup>***###</sup>	-24.9 ± 71.7 <sup>***###</sup>
(LF + HF) <sub>SU</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	3,339 ± 2,762	3,822 ± 3,380	17.9 ± 37.0	2,660 ± 2060	4,401 ± 3412 <sup>***###</sup>	96.8 ± 127.9 <sup>***###</sup>
SD1 <sub>SU</sub>	43.8 ± 28.6	46.7 ± 29.5	8.7 ± 16.8	36.1 ± 20.9	52.4 ± 28.6 <sup>***###</sup>	54.3 ± 37.6 <sup>***###</sup>
SD2 <sub>SU</sub>	94.2 ± 43.2	104.1 ± 48.9	12.0 ± 19.5 <sup>*</sup>	88.7 ± 40.5	102.0 ± 42.3 <sup>*</sup>	22.1 ± 34.9 <sup>*</sup>
SD2/SD1 <sub>SU</sub>	2.5 ± 0.9	2.6 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 13.3	2.9 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 0.8 <sup>***###</sup>	-18.7 ± 21.9 <sup>***###</sup>
LF <sub>SU</sub> (nu)	47.1 ± 23.8	52.5 ± 21.0 <sup>*</sup>	28.4 ± 54.4	54.7 ± 22.1	41.1 ± 19.4 <sup>***###</sup>	-16.3 ± 48.1 <sup>***###</sup>
HF <sub>SU</sub> (nu)	52.9 ± 23.8	47.5 ± 21.0 <sup>*</sup>	-7.6 ± 20.7	45.3 ± 22.1	58.9 ± 19.4 <sup>***###</sup>	58.1 ± 92.3 <sup>***###</sup>
DFA $\alpha$ 1 <sub>SU</sub>	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.3	-2.8 ± 9.8	1.1 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.3 <sup>**</sup>	-12.3 ± 21.3 <sup>*#</sup>

\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001 between Pre- and Post-, and #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001 in relative difference (%) between CONT and MUNZ FLOOR<sup>®</sup> change.

A reduction in HR does not directly quantify parasympathetic activity, as HR reflects the net effect of both sympathetic and parasympathetic influences as well as intrinsic sinoatrial node properties. However, when HR decreases simultaneously with increases in vagally mediated HRV indices such as RMSSD and HF power, the combination is generally interpreted as reflecting a shift toward greater parasympathetic dominance. This interpretation is supported by the fact that RMSSD and HF specifically index high-frequency beat-to-beat variability generated by respiratory sinus arrhythmia, which is tightly linked to cardiac vagal modulation.


HR and HRV parameters provide complementary and no redundant information. HR captures the tonic output of autonomic influences on the sinoatrial node, whereas RMSSD and HF reflect phasic vagal modulation. Therefore, the concurrent decrease in HR and increase in vagally mediated HRV indices in our study suggest both a reduction in overall cardiac chronotropic drive and an enhancement of beat-to-beat vagal modulation (Force, 1996; Sammito et al., 2024; Quigley et al., 2024).

The difference in outcomes between the control group (supine rest) and the experimental group (Munz Floor<sup>®</sup>) arises from the additional mobilization performed during the intervention. This superior effect on parasympathetic energy results from the combination of three important components of the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> session (i.e., voice; slow spiral-like movement; pain modulation) that are detailed below:

The session is guided by the voice: the tone and slow pace of speech, interspersed with pauses, create a condition resembling meditation. This reduces tension and induces deep relaxation. Meditation and mindfulness are well-documented to enhance

parasympathetic activity (Pascual et al., 2023). The slowness of both voice and movement reduces respiratory frequency (RF), which contributes to increased parasympathetic activity. de Souza Neto EPN and Lehot (2003) and Laborde et al. (2022) demonstrated that lowering RF promotes parasympathetic activation.

Participants lie on the floor and perform extremely slow, spiral-like movements aimed at stretching the fascia. Several studies have shown that fascial interventions can significantly modulate HRV, with a tendency toward improved parasympathetic activity. de Sousa et al. (2020) reported that manual fascial therapies can induce changes in physiological markers of autonomic modulation, suggesting possible involvement of anti-inflammatory and vagal pathways. These findings are supported by Ruffini et al. (2015), who documented significant parasympathetic activation following fascial interventions. Gungör et al. (2022) observed changes in RMSSD after dynamic stretching, although these modifications were not significantly different from those induced by other recovery methods. Beardsley Caš and Škarabot (2015), in their meta-analysis, reported that self-myofascial release interventions can improve time-domain HRV parameters, suggesting positive modulation of ANS activity. Borges et al. (2018) demonstrated increased parasympathetic activity (HF) and decreased LF/HF ratio after myofascial techniques, although their study focused on spinal manipulation rather than dynamic stretching specifically. These observations were confirmed in a meta-analysis (de Sousa et al., 2020), showing that manual fascial therapies can induce immediate increases in parasympathetic activity, reflected in changes in HRV frequency components. Nevertheless, they also emphasized the scarcity and heterogeneity




**TABLE 2** In standing position between pre- and post-, in control (CONT) and MUNZ FLOOR® groups, heart rate (HR) and heart rate variability parameters with root mean square of the successive differences between RR intervals (RMSSD), non-linear (standard deviations, SD1, SD2), frequency-domain (very low, VLF; low, LF and high HF bands), and detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA $\alpha$ 1).

Standing	CONT			MUNZ FLOOR®		
	Pre	Post	$\Delta\%$	Pre	Post	$\Delta\%$
HR <sub>ST</sub> (bpm)	77.2 $\pm$ 12.7	74.7 $\pm$ 11.9 **	-2.9 $\pm$ 6.4 **	76.6 $\pm$ 11.0	68.8 $\pm$ 9.6 ***##	-9.6 $\pm$ 9.4 ***##
RMSSD <sub>ST</sub> (ms)	30.1 $\pm$ 30.3	31.1 $\pm$ 23.5	17.6 $\pm$ 37.8	29.5 $\pm$ 23.8	39.9 $\pm$ 27.5 **##	45.8 $\pm$ 49.4 **##
VLF <sub>ST</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	1808 $\pm$ 2,364	1,655 $\pm$ 1553 *	41.1 $\pm$ 86.4 *	1,491 $\pm$ 1,590	3,152 $\pm$ 4535 #	143.3 $\pm$ 228.2 #
LF <sub>ST</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	1818 $\pm$ 2,378	1950 $\pm$ 1740	40.5 $\pm$ 94.0	1,654 $\pm$ 1842	2,344 $\pm$ 2990 *	54.1 $\pm$ 103.6
HF <sub>ST</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	584 $\pm$ 1,208	558 $\pm$ 828	62.0 $\pm$ 165.9	478 $\pm$ 822	721 $\pm$ 922	115.9 $\pm$ 162.4
LF/HF <sub>ST</sub>	10.3 $\pm$ 11.5	9.4 $\pm$ 10.8	20.8 $\pm$ 114.4	10.0 $\pm$ 13.1	8.5 $\pm$ 11.4	29.4 $\pm$ 187.9
(LF + HF) <sub>ST</sub> (ms <sup>2</sup> )	2,402 $\pm$ 3,505	2,408 $\pm$ 2,446	37.4 $\pm$ 77.1	2,132 $\pm$ 2,464	3,065 $\pm$ 3382 **	62.2 $\pm$ 90.2 **
SD1 <sub>ST</sub>	24.3 $\pm$ 21.9	25.8 $\pm$ 17.4	20.2 $\pm$ 37.9	20.9 $\pm$ 16.8	28.3 $\pm$ 19.5 **	45.7 $\pm$ 48.7 **#
SD2 <sub>ST</sub>	83.4 $\pm$ 43.7	90.0 $\pm$ 37.7 *	15.0 $\pm$ 25.4 *	77.2 $\pm$ 38.7	102.2 $\pm$ 46.9 ***	39.4 $\pm$ 41.7 ***##
SD2/SD1 <sub>ST</sub>	4.4 $\pm$ 1.6	4.2 $\pm$ 1.4	-1.2 $\pm$ 17.9	4.5 $\pm$ 1.4	4.5 $\pm$ 2.0	5.1 $\pm$ 60.8
LF <sub>ST</sub> (nu)	81.1 $\pm$ 13.7	81.0 $\pm$ 13.7	1.0 $\pm$ 15.4	79.4 $\pm$ 14.4	75.6 $\pm$ 18.7	-4.7 $\pm$ 20.6
HF <sub>ST</sub> (nu)	18.9 $\pm$ 13.7	19.0 $\pm$ 13.7	19.5 $\pm$ 76.9	20.6 $\pm$ 14.4	24.7 $\pm$ 18.7	45.6 $\pm$ 96.6
DFA $\alpha$ 1 <sub>ST</sub>	1.5 $\pm$ 0.3	1.5 $\pm$ 0.3	-0.2 $\pm$ 12.3	1.5 $\pm$ 0.3	1.4 $\pm$ 0.3	-5.5 $\pm$ 15.4

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$  between Pre- and Post-, and # $P < 0.05$ , ## $P < 0.01$  in relative difference (%) between CONT and MUNZ FLOOR® change.

of studies specifically addressing stretching. Comparisons across techniques suggest variable autonomic responses depending on the method and application context, with few direct comparative studies available.

The present results contribute to filling this gap by demonstrating the effects of spiral fascial stretches performed slowly on the floor, guided by voice, on parasympathetic activity of the ANS. This effect was observed not only in the supine resting



position but, more surprisingly, also in the standing position. While in the supine position homeostatic regulation predominates, with expected parasympathetic dominance, in the standing position baroreceptors are engaged to regulate peripheral pressures and facilitate venous return to the heart and brain, thereby increasing sympathetic activity and reducing parasympathetic influence. It is therefore noteworthy that in this standing position, the Munz Floor® method activated the parasympathetic activity but to a less extent than in supine position (Figure 2).

Several physiological mechanisms underlying the effects of myofascial techniques have been proposed: they involve complex interactions between neuromuscular, proprioceptive, and autonomic systems, with an important role for mechano-transduction and viscoelastic modulation of fascial tissues. Beardsley Caš and Škarabot (2015) emphasized that changes in fascial mechanical properties can influence force transmission and mechano-signaling, potentially affecting cardiac autonomic regulation. Thomas et al. (2021) also noted that peripheral hyperaemic responses and the release of vasoactive substances following stretching may contribute to cardiovascular adaptations, although these mechanisms have mainly been studied in the context of general muscle stretching. Borges et al. (2018) highlighted the importance of cervical afferents and vestibular inputs in autonomic modulation following fascial interventions. These neurophysiological pathways involve complex interactions between fascial mechanoreceptors and the ANS. The authors suggest that mechanical stimulation of fascial tissues may activate neurovegetative reflexes via these afferent pathways. Beardsley Caš and Škarabot (2015) further expanded this understanding by describing the role of mechano-transduction on fibroblasts and

the neuromodulator inhibition of pain through mechanoreceptor activation. These mechanisms may directly influence autonomic regulation and, consequently, HRV.

The relationship between HRV and pain is embedded within integrative models of central regulation, notably the Neurovisceral Integration Model (NVIM). This model posits that a central autonomic network (CAN), comprising the insula, anterior cingulate cortex, amygdala, prefrontal cortex, and brainstem, orchestrates both autonomic flexibility (HRV) and pain regulation. Cocoli et al. (2025) demonstrated that vagus nerve stimulation activates afferent and efferent fibers, the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS), the locus coeruleus, the amygdala, and the prefrontal cortex. Anti-inflammatory effects are mediated through suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6) and activation of  $\alpha$ 7nAChR receptors on macrophages. Vagus nerve stimulation reduces pain via descending serotonergic, noradrenergic, and cholinergic pathways, as well as through attenuation of central and peripheral inflammation.

Elevated parasympathetic activity is so correlated with enhanced pain inhibition and reduced nociceptive perception, regardless of the mode of pain induction. Forte et al. (2022), in a meta-analysis of 71 studies ( $n = 6,364$ ), reported the relationship between parasympathetic activity and pain perception during experimental stimulations (cold, heat, pressure, electrical, visceral). Results showed that increased parasympathetic activity (HF, RMSSD) was associated with greater pain inhibition capacity and reduced perceived intensity. Pellow et al. (2025) compared non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) and HRV biofeedback (HRVB) in chronic pain. In a sample of 813 subjects, nVNS significantly reduced the frequency and intensity

of headaches/migraines, decreased medication use, and improved quality of life. HRVB reduced pain and depression while increasing parasympathetic energy. Daibes et al. (2025), in a meta-analysis of 23 studies ( $n = 1,262$ ), indicated that pain-relief interventions (including VNS, HRVB, TENS, acupuncture) significantly increased parasympathetic indices (RMSSD, HF), with a reduction in the LF/HF ratio, reflecting a shift toward parasympathetic dominance. Interventions that activate the parasympathetic system reduce pain, confirming the central role of parasympathetic activity in nociceptive modulation.

Thus, the Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> method which show a significant increase in parasympathetic activity could be effective to reduce pain and inflammations.

## 5 Limitations

Assessing blood pressure and respiratory rate would have provided a more comprehensive analysis. We chose to rely solely on HRV measurements in order to maintain a more flexible organizational and technical setup, given the large number of participants and the limited time available for conducting the study within a clinical setup. We aimed also to run as study that may have practical application. Unlike the continuous monitoring of blood pressure or ventilatory patterns, HRV can be readily measured, even in clinical settings.

Although pain attenuation has already been reported with the “Munz Floor<sup>®</sup>” method, a direct assessment of pain modulation using validated scales or questionnaires would have strengthened the practical relevance of the findings by clarifying whether the reported increase in parasympathetic activity was associated with pain reduction.

## 6 Conclusion

The Munz Floor<sup>®</sup> fascia stretching method was effective for increasing the parasympathetic activation. Prior interventional evidence, the present results suggest that this method may be recommended to enhance recovery in athletic populations and to mitigate the effects of stress and fatigue in the general population. The intervention can be applied repeatedly without inducing adverse physical effects and may therefore be considered a safe strategy for promoting overall health.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by local ethical committee CER-VD 2024-00370. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

LS: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing, Formal Analysis, Data curation. AM: Writing – original draft, Investigation, Conceptualization. CS: Data curation, Conceptualization, Software, Investigation, Writing – review and editing. RL: Investigation, Writing – original draft. GM: Project administration, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing, Validation, Supervision.

## Funding

The author(s) declared that financial support was not received for this work and/or its publication.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge all the participants, the vast majority of whom attended the training on heart rate variability organized by the INSEP (French National Sport, Expertise and Performance Institute) Training Department.

## Conflict of interest

The author(s) declared that this work was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Generative AI statement

The author(s) declared that generative AI was not used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Adiguzel, S., Aras, D., Gulu, M., Aldhahi, M. I., Alqahtani, A. S., and Al-Mhanna, S. B. (2023). Comparative effectiveness of 10-week equipment-based pilates and diaphragmatic breathing exercise on heart rate variability and pulmonary function in young adult healthy women with normal BMI - a quasi-experimental study. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation* 15 (1), 82. doi:10.1186/s13102-023-00693-5
- Akselrod, S., Gordon, D., Ubel, F. A., Shannon, D. C., Berger, A. C., and Cohen, R. J. (1981). Power spectrum analysis of heart rate fluctuation: a quantitative probe of beat-to-beat cardiovascular control. *Science* 213 (4504), 220–222. doi:10.1126/science.6166045
- Amekran, Y., Damoun, N., and El Hangouche, A. J. (2025). A focus on the assessment of the autonomic function using heart rate variability. *Glob. Cardiology Science and Practice* 2025 (1), e202512. doi:10.21542/gcsp.2025.12
- Arakaki, X., Arechavala, R. J., Choy, E. H., Bautista, J., Bliss, B., Molloy, C., et al. (2023). The connection between heart rate variability (HRV), neurological health, and cognition: a literature review. *Front. Neuroscience* 17, 1055445. doi:10.3389/fnins.2023.1055445
- Aranberri-Ruiz, A., Aritzeta, A., Olarza, A., Soroa, G., and Mindeguia, R. (2022). Reducing anxiety and social stress in primary education: a breath-focused heart rate variability biofeedback intervention. *Int. Journal Environmental Research Public Health* 19 (16), 10181. doi:10.3390/ijerph191610181
- Beardsley Caš, J., and Škarabot, J. (2015). Effects of self-myofascial release: a systematic review. *J. Bodywork Movement Therapies* 19 (4), 747–758. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2015.08.007
- Bodapati, R. K., Kizer, J. R., Kop, W. J., Kamel, H., and Stein, P. K. (2017). Addition of 24-hour heart rate variability parameters to the cardiovascular health study stroke risk score and prediction of incident stroke: the cardiovascular health study. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 6 (7), e004305. doi:10.1161/JAHA.116.004305
- Borges, B. L. A., Bortolazzo, G. L., and Neto, H. P. (2018). Effects of spinal manipulation and myofascial techniques on heart rate variability: a systematic review. *J. Bodywork Movement Therapies* 22 (1), 203–208. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2017.09.025
- Carrasco-Poyatos, M., Gonzalez-Quilez, A., Altini, M., and Granero-Gallegos, A. (2022). Heart rate variability-guided training in professional runners: effects on performance and vagal modulation. *Physiology and Behavior* 244, 113654. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2021.113654
- Cocoli, K., Curley, J., Rohatgi, P., and Abdennadher, M. (2025). Vagus nerve stimulation therapy for epilepsy: mechanisms of action and therapeutic approaches. *Brain Sciences* 15 (11), 1236. doi:10.3390/brainsci15111236
- Daibes, M., Almarie, B., Andrade, M. F., Vidigal, G. P., Aranis, N., Gianlorenco, A., et al. (2025). Do pain and autonomic regulation share a common central compensatory pathway? A meta-analysis of HRV metrics in pain trials. *NeuroSci* 6 (3), 62. doi:10.3390/neurosci6030062
- de Sousa, K. A., Cardoso, L. B., Pirola, F. M., Auad, L. G., and de Oliveira, A. S. (2020). Effect of manual therapies on cardiac autonomic control: a systematic review. *Man. Ther., Posturology Rehabil. J.* 19 (804), 1–12. doi:10.17784/mtprehabjournal.2021.19.804
- de Souza Neto Epn, J., and Lehot, J. J. (2003). Comprendre la variabilité de la pression artérielle et de la fréquence cardiaque. *Ann. Françaises d'Anesthésie et de Réanimation* 22 (5), 425–452. doi:10.1016/S0750-7658(03)00134-5
- DeBlauw, J. A., Stein, J. A., Blackman, C., Haas, M., Makle, S., Echevarria, I., et al. (2023). Heart rate variability of elite female rowers in preparation for and during the national selection regattas: a pilot study on the relation to on water performance. *Front. Sports Active Living* 5, 1245788. doi:10.3389/fspor.2023.1245788
- Flanigan, R. M., Nawoczenski, D. A., Chen, L., Wu, H., and DiGiovanni, B. F. (2007). The influence of foot position on stretching of the plantar fascia. *Foot and Ankle International* 28 (7), 815–822. doi:10.3113/FAI.2007.0815
- Force, T. (1996). Heart rate variability. Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. Task force of the european society of cardiology and the north American society of pacing and electrophysiology. *Eur. Heart Journal* 17 (3), 354–381.
- Forte, G., Troisi, G., Pazzaglia, M., Pascalis, V., and Casagrande, M. (2022). Heart rate variability and pain: a systematic review. *Brain Sciences* 12 (2), 153. doi:10.3390/brainsci12020153
- Freiberger, J., Derrick, B., Chon, K. H., Hossain, M. B., Posada-Quintero, H. F., Cooter, M., et al. (2024). Does heart rate variability predict impairment of operational performance in divers? *Sensors* 24 (23), 7726. doi:10.3390/s24237726
- Freitas, S. R. (2018). Does the fascia stiffness increase after stretching also occurs in human individuals? *J. Applied Physiology* 125 (2), 683. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00281.2018
- Goldstein, D. S., Benth, O., Park, M. Y., and Sharabi, Y. (2011). Low-frequency power of heart rate variability is not a measure of cardiac sympathetic tone but May be a measure of modulation of cardiac autonomic outflows by baroreflexes. *Exp. Physiology* 96 (12), 1255–1261. doi:10.1113/expphysiol.2010.056259
- Gordon, C. M., Dugan, V., Hormann, C., and Montoya, P. (2024). Interdisciplinary fascia therapy: a proof-of-concept pilot study for a new myofascial approach for chronic low back pain. *J. Clinical Medicine* 13 (23), 7226. doi:10.3390/jcm13237226
- Gouveia, S. S. V., de Moraes, G. G. P., Souza, L. M., da Costa, B. C., Sousa, G. H. M., Pinho, V. A., et al. (2022). Heart rate variability and respiratory muscle strength in patients with type II diabetes practicing pilates: a randomized clinical trial. *Curr. Diabetes Reviews* 18 (6), e280921196866. doi:10.2174/1573399817666210928143752
- Gracovetsky, S. (2018). Yoga, fascia and the second law of thermodynamics. *J. Bodywork Movement Therapies* 22 (2), 346–347. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2018.02.002
- Gronwald, T., Schaffarczyk, M., and Hoos, O. (2024). Orthostatic testing for heart rate and heart rate variability monitoring in exercise science and practice. *Eur. Journal Applied Physiology* 124 (12), 3495–3510. doi:10.1007/s00421-024-05601-4
- Güngör, A. K., Topçu, H., Arabacı, R., and Şahin, Ş. (2022). The effects of differing recovery methods on blood pressure and heart rate variability in hearing impaired athletes. *Sport. Performans Araştırmaları Derg.* 13 (3), 317–332. doi:10.17155/omuspd.1197078
- Hsu, C. C., Chen, T. Y., Li, J. Y., Kuo, T. B. J., and Yang, C. C. H. (2025). Differential analysis of heart rate variability in repeated continuous performance tests among healthy young men. *Psychiatry Investig.* 22 (2), 148–155. doi:10.30773/pi.2024.0251
- Kerautret, Y., Guillot, A., Daligault, S., and Di Rienzo, F. (2021). Foam rolling elicits neuronal relaxation patterns distinct from manual massage: a randomized controlled trial. *Brain Sciences* 11, 818. doi:10.3390/brainsci11060818
- Konrad, A., Tilp, M., and Nakamura, M. (2021). A comparison of the effects of foam rolling and stretching on physical performance. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Physiology* 12, 720531. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.720531
- Laborde, S., Mosley, E., Bellenger, C., and Thayer, J. (2022). Editorial: horizon 2030: innovative applications of heart rate variability. *Front. Neuroscience* 16, 937086. doi:10.3389/fnins.2022.937086
- LeBlanc, V. R., Mastoras, G., Hicks, C., MacGregor, P., O'Rielly, C., Petrosioniak, A., et al. (2025). The stressed heart: validity evidence supporting Mobile heart rate variability applications to detect psychological stress in healthcare learners. *Med. Education* 59 (7), 729–738. doi:10.1111/medu.15629
- Pascual, K., Fredman, A., Naum, A., Patil, C., and Sikka, N. (2023). Should mindfulness for health care workers Go virtual? A mindfulness-based intervention using virtual reality and heart rate variability in the emergency department. *Workplace Health and Safety* 71 (4), 188–194. doi:10.1177/21650799221123258
- Pellow, K., Harrison, J., Tucker, P., and Harper, B. (2025). Effectiveness of non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation vs heart rate variability biofeedback interventions for chronic pain conditions: a systematic review. *Scand. J. Pain* 25 (1). doi:10.1515/sjpain-2024-0037
- Pomeranz, B., Macaulay, R. J., Caudill, M. A., Kutz, I., Adam, D., Gordon, D., et al. (1985). Assessment of autonomic function in humans by heart rate spectral analysis. *Am. Journal Physiology* 248 (1 Pt 2), H151–H153. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.1985.248.1.H151
- Quigley, K. S., Gianaros, P. J., Norman, G. J., Jennings, J. R., Berntson, G. G., and de Geus, E. J. C. (2024). Publication guidelines for human heart rate and heart rate variability studies in psychophysiology-part 1: physiological underpinnings and foundations of measurement. *Psychophysiology* 61 (9), e14604. doi:10.1111/psyp.14604
- Reyes del Paso Gal, W., Mulder, L. J. M., van Roon, A., and Duschek, S. (2013). The utility of low frequency heart rate variability as an index of sympathetic cardiac tone: a review with emphasis on a reanalysis of previous studies. *Psychophysiology* 50 (5), 477–487. doi:10.1111/psyp.12027
- Rudics, E., Buzas, A., Palfi, A., Szabo, Z., Nagy, A., Hompoth, E. A., et al. (2025). Quantifying stress and relaxation: a new measure of heart rate variability as a reliable biomarker. *Biomedicine* 13 (1), 81. doi:10.3390/biomedicine13010081
- Ruffini, N., D'alexandro, G., Mariani, N., Pollastrelli, A., Cardinali, L., and Cerritelli, F. (2015). Variations of high frequency parameter of heart rate variability following osteo- pathic manipulative treatment in healthy subjects compared to control group and sham therapy: randomized controlled trial. *Front. Neuroscience* 9, 272. doi:10.3389/fnins.2015.00272
- Ruiz, J. J. B., Perez-Cruzado, D., and Llanes, R. P. (2023). Immediate effects of lumbar fascia stretching on hamstring flexibility: a randomized clinical trial. *J. Back Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation* 36 (3), 619–627. doi:10.3233/BMR-210274
- Sammito, S., Thielmann, B., Klusmann, A., Deussen, A., Braumann, K. M., and Bockelmann, I. (2024). Guideline for the application of heart rate and heart rate variability in occupational medicine and occupational health science. *J. Occupational Medicine Toxicology* 19 (1), 15. doi:10.1186/s12995-024-00414-9
- Schmitt, L., Hellard, P., Millet, G. P., Roels, B., Richalet, J. P., and Fouillot, J. P. (2006). Heart rate variability and performance at two different altitudes in well-trained swimmers. *Int. Journal Sports Medicine* 27 (3), 226–231. doi:10.1055/s-2005-865647
- Schmitt, L., Regnard, J., Desmarests, M., Mauny, F., Mourot, L., Fouillot, J. P., et al. (2013). Fatigue shifts and scatters heart rate variability in elite endurance athletes. *PLoS One* 8 (8), e71588. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071588
- Schmitt, L., Regnard, J., Parmentier, A. L., Mauny, F., Mourot, L., Coulmy, N., et al. (2015). Typology of “fatigue” by heart rate variability analysis in elite nordic-skiers. *Int. Journal Sports Medicine* 36 (12), 999–1007. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1548885

- Schmitt, L., Willis, S. J., Coulmy, N., and Millet, G. P. (2018). Effects of different training intensity distributions between elite cross-country skiers and nordic-combined athletes during live high-train low. *Front. Physiology* 9, 932. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00932
- Schmitt, L., Bouthiaux, S., and Millet, G. P. (2021). Eleven years' monitoring of the world's Most successful Male biathlete of the last decade. *Int. Journal Sports Physiology Performance* 16 (6), 900–905. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2020-0148
- Siriphorn, A., and Eksakulka, S. (2020). Calf stretching and plantar fascia-specific stretching for plantar fasciitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Bodywork Movement Therapies* 24 (4), 222–232. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2020.06.013
- Sugino, Y., Yoshimura, I., Hagio, T., Ishimatsu, T., Nagatomo, M., and Yamamoto, T. (2023). Effect of plantar fascia-specific stretching and achilles tendon stretching on shear wave elasticity of the plantar fascia in healthy subjects. *Foot Ankle Surgery Official Journal Eur. Soc. Foot Ankle Surg.* 29 (3), 208–212. doi:10.1016/j.fas.2023.01.003
- Tekin, R. T., Kudas, S., Buran, M. M., Cabuk, S., Akbasli, O., Uludag, V., et al. (2025). The relationship between resting heart rate variability and sportive performance, sleep and body awareness in soccer players. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation* 17 (1), 58. doi:10.1186/s13102-025-01093-7
- Telles, S., Sharma, S. K., and Balkrishna, A. (2014). Blood pressure and heart rate variability during yoga-based alternate nostril breathing practice and breath awareness. *Med. Science Monitor Basic Research*. 20, 184–193. doi:10.12659/MSMBR.892063
- Thomas, E., Bellafiore, M., Gentile, A., Paoli, A., Palma, A., and Bianco, A. (2021). Cardiovascular responses to muscle stretching: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. Journal Sports Medicine* 42 (6), 481–493. doi:10.1055/a-1312-7131
- Tomes, C., Schram, B., Canetti, E., and Orr, R. (2025). Heart rate variability is more sensitive to stress than heart rate in specialist police undergoing selection. *PLoS One* 20 (1), e0317124. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0317124
- Uusitalo, A. L., Uusitalo, A. J., and Rusko, H. K. (2000). Heart rate and blood pressure variability during heavy training and overtraining in the female athlete. *Int. Journal Sports Medicine* 21 (1), 45–53. doi:10.1055/s-2000-8853
- Warneke, K., Rabitsch, T., Dobert, P., and Wilke, J. (2024). The effects of static and dynamic stretching on deep fascia stiffness: a randomized, controlled cross-over study. *Eur. Journal Applied Physiology* 124 (9), 2809–2818. doi:10.1007/s00421-024-05495-2
- Wu, L. W., Chen, K. Y., and Tserng, H. P. (2025). Work-related mental stress in confined tunnel construction environments: a field study with heart rate variability (HRV). *Ergonomics*, 1–23. doi:10.1080/00140139.2025.2543518
- Yoshimura, A., Schleip, R., Wilke, J., Schilder, A., Aisenpreis, P. M., Narita, T., et al. (2024). Active versus passive foam rolling on range of motion, tissue property, and neural adaptation in healthy young adults: a randomised controlled trial. *J. Bodywork Movement Therapies* 40, 1635–1644. doi:10.1016/j.jbmt.2024.09.002
- Zhao, C., Wang, K., Li, D., Li, Y., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., et al. (2024). Relationship between state anxiety, heart rate variability, and shooting performance in adolescent shooters. *BMC Psychology* 12 (1), 736. doi:10.1186/s40359-024-02062-4